

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,942	10/15/2001		Yrjo Leppanen	6009-4611	4597
759	90	09/09/2003			
Morgan & Fin			EXAMINER KASTLER, SCOTT R		
345 Park Avenu New York, NY	-				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1742	
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. Office Action Comments			Application No.	\bigcirc	Applicant(s)					
			09/889,942		LEPPANEN ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	E	Examin r		Art Unit					
			Scott Kastler		1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>28 Jul</u>	<u>y 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This	action is non-fir	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
	4) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 7-10 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7-10</u> is/are rejections	cteu.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		1							
	Claim(s) are subject to restr on Papers	iction and/or e	nection requiren	nent.						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 09/889,942

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB'645 in view of Hudd. GB'645 teaches, at page 4 lines 20-27 for example, that when casting copper cooling elements, it was known in the art at the time the invention was made to employ chill molds for the casting of the cooling elements, where the molds would have a base, walls and end plates, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims except the use of copper (rather than the cast-iron of GB'645) mold walls, cooling pipes or a graphite lining plates. Hudd teaches that when casting copper components, chill molds (shown in figure 1 for example) comprising copper plates or blocks (1) lined with graphite plates (2) and cooled with cooling pipes (4) provide improved durability and service life to the mold when compared to other types of copper casting molds (see col. 2 lines 23-55 for example). As stated in the instant specification at page 2 for example, graphite plates will inherently attach themselves to the copper walls by means of underpressure. Because improved durability and increased service life would also be desirable in the chill mold designed for copper casting described in GB'645, motivation to employ the chill mold materials described in Hudd when constructing the mold described by GB'645, in order to increase service life of the mold, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,942

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 7-28-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that GB'645 does not teach all of the components required by the instant claims for the mold is not persuasive because Hudd is cited to teach these features. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Applicant's further argument that since Hudd employs other attachment means the graphite is not held to the mold walls by underpressure is not persuasive because the instant claims do not exclude the use of additional attachment means as well as the underpressure effect which, as stated in the instant specification, is inherent. Also applicant's argument that Hudd is not equipped with cooling pipes is not persuasive because Hudd teaches cooling pipes (4) in the mold wall.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/889,942

Art Unit: 1742

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-3050. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742